

## REMARKS

In response to the Requirement for Restriction mailed February 20, 2007, applicants hereby elect group I, drawn to the adhesive composition comprising the block copolymer. The Requirement for Restriction is respectfully traversed.

The Requirement for Restriction is respectfully traversed for two reasons. First, it is submitted that the restriction requirement is not in agreement with PCT Rule 13.2. This rule, as embodied in 37 C.F.R. §1.475, states that a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention *will* be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn to one of the following combination of categories: "(2) a product and process of use of said product." This section of the rules does not impose the requirement of a special technical feature.

Second, the claims drawn to the method of use of adhesive composition (currently reformatted as claims 23-25) all require the adhesive composition of claim 1. Although it is argued that block copolymers are known in the art, it is not seen that the specific block copolymer of the present claims disclosed is suggested by the art. It is moreover submitted that such mandates keeping together with the elected claims copolymer claim 19, as well.

A prompt action on the merits is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned, with any questions.

Respectfully submitted,  
/Harry B. Shubin/  
Harry B. Shubin, Reg. No. 32,004  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO  
& BRANIGAN, P.C.  
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400  
2200 Clarendon Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia 22201  
Telephone: (703) 243-6333  
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410  
Attorney Docket No.: ATOCM-0352

Date: April 20, 2007  
HBS/cak